## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/560,735	NII ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL WILSON	1786	

	MICHAEL WILSON	1786		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,11 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u>	be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and the control of the contr	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, w	rill <u>not</u> be	
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	l.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		condition for allowand	ce because:	
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>see Attachment</u>.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786				